Biometric Recognition: Challenges in Forensics

Anil K. Jain

(Jung-Eun Lee, Abhishek Nagar, Unsang Park, Jianjiang Feng) Biometrics Research Laboratory Michigan State University http://biometrics.cse.msu.edu

Identity Questions

- Does Charlie have a criminal record?
- Should John be granted a visa?
- Does Alice already have a driver license?
- Is Mary authorized to enter the facility?
- Can Steve access the website?
- Is Cathy the owner of the bank account?

We rely on credentials: documents & secrets

Al-Qaida Gets Fake Papers



James Sturcke & Agencies Tuesday March 20, 2007 Guardian Unlimited

"An estimated 10,000 British passports were issued after fraudulent applications in the space of a year. Dhiren Barot, the most senior al-Qaida terrorist ever captured in Britain, had 7 passports in his true identity and 2 further passports in fraudulent identities."

290,000 passports issued by UK were lost/stolen in 2006

http://press.homeoffce.gov.uk/press-releases/passport-warning?version=1

Passwords and PIN

- Ten most common passwords: password, 123456, Qwerty, abc123, letmein, monkey, myspace1, password1, link182, (your first name) http://www.pcmag.com/article2/0,1895,2113976,00.asp
- ~40% of user-chosen passwords are readily guessable by programs http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=359168.359172
- Personal data is routinely lost & stolen http://www.privacyrights.org/ar/ChronDataBreaches.htm
- A complete identity (govt. issued ID, US bank account and new DOB) can be bought for \$14 The Straits Times, Singapore, March 20, 2007

Identity Theft

Identity thieves steal customer ID & pw to create financial nightmare for customers; 8.9 million ID thefts in U.S. in 2005 resulting in \$56.6 billion losses

Mike Keefe Editorial Cartoon



Biometric Recognition

Automatic recognition based on "who you are" as opposed to "what you know" (PIN) or "what you have" (ID card)



Recognition of a person by his body & then linking that body to an externally established identity, forms a very powerful tool for identity management

Biometrics is not New!

- Habitual Criminal Act, U.K. (1869)
- Bertillon system (1882) based on anthropometric measurements (height, length of foot, arm & index finger)
- Galton/Henry system of fingerprint matching adopted by Scotland Yard (1900)
- FBI set up a fingerprint identification division (1924)
- AFIS installed with a database of 800K fingerprints (1965)
- Goldstein (1971) published first face recognition paper
- Daugman (~1990) developed iris recognition technology
- FBI installed IAFIS in 2000 with a database of 80 million 10 prints;
 ~80,000 searches per day; ~20% of searches are in lights out mode; ~2
 hour response time
- Next Generation Identification, NGI (2008); 1000 ppi, palmprints, extended feature definition, fusion

Biometric: New Era

- Border security
- Multiple enrollments
- Financial fraud
- User convenience
- Cheap & compact sensors
- Embedded systems
- Requirements:
 throughput, cost & HCI





Biometric Traits



A biometric trait should satisfy: universality, distinctiveness, permanence and collectability





~ 70M visitors have been processed by US-VISIT; 1,100 criminals denied entry; watch list size ~4M

Beginning Nov 27, 2007, Washington's Dulles Airport started to acquire ten fingerprints from each visitor to the US; system will be implemented at all land crossings by end of 2008

Singapore Biometric Passport



Border Crossing in UAE

Many people expelled from the UAE make repeated efforts to reenter with new identities using forged travel documents



Disney World Orlando



Throughput: 100K/day, 365 days/ year; provides access to paying customers & denies access to non-paying customers

Commercial Applications



Meijer supermarket, Okemos



Citibank, Singapore: pay by fingerprints



MSU Federal Credit Union, East Lansing



Time & Attendance; Hilton Waterfront Beach Resort

Societal Benefits



Bank in Malawi uses fingerprint smart cards for micro-loans

Biometrics Research @ MSU

- Latent fingerprint matching
- Extended feature set
- Latent palmprint matching
- Scars, Marks and Tattoos (SMT)
- Facial aging
- Biometric fusion
- Template security
- Face recognition in video
- Whole hand sensing

Challenges in Forensic Science

- Tremendous increase in expectations from forensic science
 - "CSI effect"- Jurors are now more concerned about the physical evidences (fingerprints, DNA, etc.); suspects/convicts are being acquitted due to lack of physical evidence
 - Police detectives who used to gather 4-5 pieces of evidence from crime scenes now gather 50-400 sources
- Crime rate is falling but the backlog is still increasing; at the end of 2002 more than half a million cases were backlogged
- Throughput needs to be increased since samples can be stored only for a finite time period
- Need for better equipment, efficient techniques, and strong theoretical and empirical proofs of their effectiveness.

Paradigm Shift in Forensic Identification

- Old Paradigm: Assumption of discernible uniqueness
 - When pair of markings is not observably different, it is concluded that marks were made by the same person or object
 - No calculation or explanation of probabilities of random correspondence
- Emerging Paradigm: Rigorous proficiency testing
 - In Daubert v. Merrell Dow [509 U.S. 579 (1993)], the Supreme Court subjects the forensic sciences to a first-principles scientific scrutiny
 - Scientific plausibility of technique followed and proper error rate analysis of the forensic technique used is expected
 - Number of court challenges to forensic science is now increasing
 - In United States v. Starzecpyzel [880 F. Supp. 1027 (S.D.N.Y. 1995)], forensic document examination was not accepted
 - In a recent Baltimore court ruling, latent fingerprint evidence were excluded (http://www.clpex.com/Information/STATEOFMARYLAND-v-BryanRose.doc)

M. J. Saks and J. J. Koehler, "The Coming Paradigm Shift in Forensic Identification Science", Science, 309, pp. 892-895, 2005

Errors in Forensic Identification

- Base error rates of forensic techniques
 - Spectrographic voice identification error rates are as high as 63%, Handwriting error rates-40%, Bite Marks-64%, Microscopic hair comparison-12%. In one test, 20% of latent examiners mistook one person's fingerprints for those of his twin
- Innocence Project
 - 14 people on death row were among the 87 exonerated by DNA analysis

(http://www.innocenceproject.org/Content/73.php)



Factors associated with wrongful conviction in 86 DNA exoneration cases, based on case analysis data provided by the Innocence Project, Cardozo School of Law (New York, NY), and computed by us. Percentages exceed 100% because more than one factor was found in many cases. Red bars indicate factors related to forensic science.

M. J. Saks and J. J. Koehler, "The Coming Paradigm Shift in Forensic Identification Science", Science, 309, pp. 892-895, 2005

Examples of Misidentifications



These illustrate subclass characteristics agreement which could be mistaken for individual characteristics



Bite mark misidentification which led to death sentence [State v. Krone, 182 Ariz. 319 (1995)]. Krone was exonerated a decade later by DNA analysis



FBI fingerprint examiners erroneously identified Brandon Mayfield as the Bomber in Madrid train bombing case

M. J. Saks and J. J. Koehler, "The Coming Paradigm Shift in Forensic Identification Science", Science, 309, 892-895, 2005

Fingerprints

"Perhaps the most beautiful and characteristic of all superficial marks (on human body) are the small furrows with the intervening ridges and their pores that are disposed in a singularly complex yet even order on the under surfaces of the hands and feet."

Francis Galton, Nature, June 28, 1888

Fingerprint Matching

NIST Fingerprint Vendor Technology Evaluation (FpVTE) 2003; the best matcher (NEC) achieved 99.4% TAR at 0.01% FAR.



Results are based on 10,000 flat fingerprints

Challenges: low quality images, indexing, enhancing "lights out" capability

Matching Errors

Large intra-class variation (distortion & noise)





Touchless Fingerprint Imaging



Touchless 3D image

Courtesy: TBS North America (NIJ Fast Capture Program)

Latent Fingerprint Matching



Background Fingerprints

Challenges: quality, distortion, limited information, automatic feature extraction, extended features, indexing

Reliability of Latent Identification

Latent fingerprint identification follows a strict protocol (ACE-V); errors inevitably occur due to "human factors"



Latent print (Madrid bombing case) Actual matching rolled print (Ouhnane Daoud) Incorrectly matched rolled print (Brandon Mayfield)

Would a better automatic matcher give a lower score for the above incorrect match, deterring an examiner to declare a "match"?

NIST Evaluation of Latent Fingerprint Technologies (ELFT) 2007

- ELFT is a multi-phase project aimed at testing state of the art latent fingerprint matching systems
- 15 SDK's were tested for matching performance
- Average rank-1 identification rate is ~60% (best ~80%) while searching 100 latents against a background database of 10,000 rolled prints
- Significantly lower accuracy than state of the art full print matching



Example of moderately difficult search successfully hit by most SDK's

Latent Fingerprint Research @ MSU

- Improved minutiae matching using orientation map, quality map, minutiae descriptor
- Multistage filtering
- Extended features
- Automatic feature extraction in latents

A.K. Jain, J. Feng, A. Nagar and K. Nandakumar, "<u>On Matching Latent Fingerprints</u>", IEEE Computer Society Workshop on Biometrics, CVPR, Alaska, 2008. (To Appear) J. Feng and A.K. Jain, "<u>Filtering Large Fingerprint Database for Latent Matching</u>", Submitted to ICPR 2008

Feature Extraction

Latent Fingerprints (Manual Extraction)





Rolled Fingerprints (Automatic Extraction)





Minutiae, Core/Delta, Type, Orientation and Quality

Red: unreliable Green: reliable

Database Filtering Fingerprint classification using pseudo ridges





arch left loop



right loop



whorl

Minutiae triplet indexing





Matching Results



CMC curves corresponding to Good, Bad and Ugly quality latent images in the NIST SD-27 database. These three categories contain 88, 85 and 85 latent images, respectively. A background database of 2,258 rolled prints is used

Correct Matches







Three latents (classified as good, bad and ugly by latent examiner) and the mated rolled prints. In all these three cases, our algorithm found the true mates at rank one.

Matching minutiae are shown in green; index of matching minutiae are shown in yellow; unmatched minutiae are shown in red

Incorrect Match



The mated rolled print (right) of the latent (left) was ranked 245 by our algorithm. Many spurious minutiae are detected in the rolled print; matching minutiae are shown in **green**; index of matching minutiae are shown in **yellow**; unmatched minutiae are shown in **red**

Filtering Performance



CMC curves of with and without filtering on a background database of 10,258 full prints (258 from NIST27, 2,000 from NIST4, and 8,000 from NIST14); searching a latent with filtering takes 62 seconds, 3 times faster than without filtering

Extended Fingerprint Features

LEVEL 1 FEATURES ARCH **RIGHT LOOP** TENTED ARCH LEFT LOOP DOUBLE LOOP WHORL **LEVEL 2 FEATURES** HOOK LINE-UNIT LINE-FRAGMENT ENDING BIFURCATION EYE **LEVEL 3 FEATURES** PORES LINE SHAPE INCIPIENT CREASES WARTS SCARS RIDGES

- Level 1 (Patterns)
- Level 2 (Points)
- Level 3
 (Shape)

Extend Features

- Current AFIS systems do not utilize extended features while 52% of practitioners affirm to always use them when present on a mark
- A new ANSI/NIST standard on extended feature set is being drafted by CDEFFS, which includes standard definitions and representations of pores, dots and incipient ridges
- An online survey (2006) regarding Level 3 features among 70 practitioners found out that dots are the most reproducible and valuable Level 3 features

"What are level 3 characteristics and their role in fingerprint identification? A survey among practitioners", A. Anthoniioz et al., 2006
Extend Features Research @ MSU

- Extraction and utilization of pores, ridge contours, dots, incipient ridges
- Fusion of extended features in minutiae matcher

A.K. Jain, Y. Chen and M. Demirkus, "<u>Pores and Ridges: High Resolution Fingerprint</u> <u>Matching Using Level 3 Features</u>", *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 15-27, January 2007

Y. Chen and A. K. Jain, "<u>Dots And Incipients: Extended Features for Partial Fingerprint</u> <u>Matching</u>", *Proceedings of Biometric Symposium, Biometric Consortium Conference*, Baltimore, September, 2007

Our Approach



Pores and Ridge Contours





Pores

- Equally spaced along ridges; avg. no. is 9 to 18/cm of a ridge
- Of varying size (88-220 micra in diameter), shape (round, elliptical, oval, square, rhomboid) and status (open, close)

Ridge Contours

- Shape of the ridge edge
- Created by (i) differential growth of the ridge units, and (ii) pores near the edge of the ridge

Locard, *Les pores et l'identification des criminals,* Biologica, vol.2, pp. 357-365, 1912

Ashbaugh, D., *Quantitative-Qualitative Friction Ridge Analysis*, 1999, CRC Press

Database

In-house flat database

Roll 1

In-house partial database



NIST Tenprint database (SD 30)



Cropped Partials from Roll 2

Matching Results

Results of partial to flat matching (MSU database)



Matching Results

Results of (Cropped) partial to roll matching (NIST SD30 database)



- Partial prints divided into 3 groups based on the no. of minutiae
- Significance of utilizing dots/incipients increases as the no. of minutiae present in partial prints decreases
- 500ppi seems adequate for dots/incipients extraction

Latent Matching Example (NIST SD 27)



Latent Palmprint Matching

The evidential value of palmprints is clear from the following statistic:

- 25% of all crime scenes contain only latent palmprints
- 30% of the latents recovered from crime scenes are of palms



Palmar physiology, (a) Anatomical landmarks for the palm [3], (b) Main groups of palmar flexion creases (major flexion creases \rightarrow red, minor flexion creases \rightarrow green, minor finger creases \rightarrow blue, secondary creases \rightarrow yellow) and minutiae, \rightarrow magenta

[1] http://www.businesswire.com, El Paso police installs Sagem Morpho Palmprint System, Business Wire, 2002.
[2] S. K. Dewan, W. Elementary, "Scan a Palm, Find a Clue", The New York Times, November 2003.
[3] H. Cummins and M. Midlo, "Finger Prints, Palms and Soles: An Introduction To Dermatoglyphics," Dover Publications, New York, 1961.

Palmprint Research @ MSU

- Automatic feature extraction in latents
- Use minutiaCode in matching
- Extended features
- Hand type, orientation and region determination

Matching System



No. of minutiae in a full print = 800; average no. of minutiae in a latent = 150

Databases



Live-scan partial palmprint 1000x1000 pixels





Ink full palmprint 2000x2000 pixels



Live-scan full palmprint 2000x2000 pixels

Ridge Extraction

- Estimate local ridge direction and frequency
- Use the directional field for image enhancement
- Binarize, thin and extract ridge and minutiae
- Ridge and minutia validation



Thenar is the most difficult region due to a large number of creases

Latent-to-full Matching

- Compute similarity between minutiae based on a new descriptor: MinutiaCode
- Align palmprints according to the most similar minutiae pair
- Find additional matching minutiae using greedy strategy



1, 21, 8, 1, 1 Features in each sector of MinutiaCode:

- 1. ROI flag (1: foreground, 0: background),
- 2. ridge orientation
- 3. ridge period
- 4. number of minutiae with same direction
- 5. number of minutiae with opposite direction

40 sectors around each minutiae; *MinutiaCode* is a 200-dimensional vector

Latent Matching Results

- Latents have arbitrary rotation in [-180,180]
- Resolution is 400 ppi
- Multiple prints overlap in a single latent
- Quality of a large number of full prints is poor



0.36 sec/match

Slower than livescan partial due to arbitrary rotation

48 latents against 2,136 full prints (36 mated prints of latents + 100 mated prints of live-scan partials + 2,000 single prints)

Successful Match for Latent Print



Latent with matching minutiae overlaid



Full print with matching minutiae overlaid

Overlapped fingerprint 180 degree rotation



Aligned Latent



Full print with latent overlaid

Unsuccessful Match for Latent print





Both images are of poor quality

Soft Biometrics

 They provide some discriminatory information; can be used in conjunction with primary biometric traits



Ethnicity, Skin Color, Hair color (Sub-Saharan African, Indian, Southern European, and Northwest European)





Eye color







Marks





Tattoos

Height

Scars, Marks and Tattoos (SMT)

 Imprints on the skin useful for identifying a suspect or a victim



- Summary of a 2003 online Harris poll:
 - 16% of the adults in the US have at least one tattoo
 - The highest incidence was found among Americans age 25 to 29 years (36%) and 30 to 39 years (28%)

FBI Booking card



December 3, 1975

Suspect Identification



(b)

(a)

(C)

(a) Teardrop tattoo (person has killed someone or had a friend killed in prison), (b) Texas Syndicate (TS) gang member tattoo, (c) Three dots tattoo found on the prisoner's back of the hand

ANSI/NIST Tattoo Classes

ANSI/NIST ITL 1-2000 Tattoo Classes

Class description	Class code
Human Forms and Features	HUMAN
Animals and Animal Features	ANIMAL
Plants	PLANT
Flags	FLAG
Objects	OBJECT
Abstractions	ABSTRACT
Insignias & Symbols	SYMBOL
Other Images	OTHER

ANSI/NIST ITL 1-2000 Animal Tattoo Subclasses

Subclass	Subclass code
Cats & Cat Heads	CAT
Dogs & Dog Heads	DOG
Other Domestic Animals	DOMESTIC
Vicious Animals	VICIOUS
(Lions, Tigers, etc.)	
Horses	HORSE
(Donkeys, Mules, etc.)	
Other Wild Animals	WILD
Snakes	SNAKE
Dragons	DRAGON
Birds (Cardinal, Hawk, etc.)	BIRD
Spiders, Bugs, and Insects	INSECT
Abstract Animals	ABSTRACT
Animal Parts	PARTS
Miscellaneous Animal Forms	MANIMAL













1	Heigh
k	111

Human

Plant

Flag

C

Object Al

Abstract

Symbol

Other

Tattoo Identification in Forensics

- Current systems retrieve tattoo images based on human-assigned class labels with text query
- Limited number of categories and large intra-class variability makes it difficult to label a tattoo
- The same tattoo image can have multiple labels



Six tattoos in the FLAG category

Content-based Tattoo Image Retrieval

- Given an image query and an optional associated class label, retrieve the top-N most similar images from the database
- Image query specifies the content of the tattoo pattern



Query



Top-8 most similar tattoos for the query "star" tattoo

Tattoo-ID System



How to Define Image Similarity



Tattoo-ID System

🛃 tattoo_id

uery Image Database	- Retrieved Images				Round 1
Location>> LSM_GUl\query_images		-			
0730_blur5.bmp 0730_h83.bmp 0730_h87.bmp 0730_lm100.bmp 0730_lm70.bmp 0730_m24.bmp	0730 bran	1089 hmp	0992 hmp	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	1083 bmp
	100.0	67.0	57.1	55.9	53.3
New Folder 0730_h83.bmp Feature Color Texture Image: Color Shape Image: Color	1087.bmp	1106.bmp	0917.bmp	239 0705.bmp	0731.bmp
lass Selection	51.7	51.7	49.0	47.1	46.0
Main Class	Designation	0	X	-sqps-	*
Sub Class	1039.bmp	1142.bmp	0753.bmp	1017.bmp	0895.bmp
National Symbols Political Symbols Military Symbols Fraternal Symbols Professional Symbols	46.0	45.6	45.2	43.3	
Gang Symbols /liscellaneous Symbols			ALA	TEG	Ast.
×	1102 hmp	0985 bmp	1098 bmp	0995 hmp	1147 bmp
All Clear	42.1	41.4	41.4	39.5	37.5
Run New Task Close	Before	xt		All Cle	ar Feedback

SMT Database

- 5,300 tattoo images (90x90) downloaded from the Web
 - 4,700 common tattoos and 500 gang tattoos
 - 100 tattoos captured from a subject's arm under different imaging conditions















(a) (d) (b) (c) (e) Gang Tattoos: (a) and (b) Ambrose, (c) Adidas boys, (d) Brazers, (e) Latin Kings, (f) Family Stones, (g) Insane Deuces (From GangInk.com)

250 scars and marks images from the Web



Image Variations

 Tattoo images are often captured under non-ideal conditions (e.g., by a surveillance video camera)



"PLANT" tattoo images captured under different imaging conditions

 Image deformations classified as: affine transformation, blurring, changes in illumination, color, aspect ratio and rotation



(a) original; variations due to (b) blurring, (c) illumination, (d) color component changes, (e) affine transformation, (f) aspect ratio change, and (g) rotation

Low-level Image Features

Color features

- Color histogram: root-mean-square distance among RGB values
- Color correlogram: spatial correlation of pairs of colors
- Shape features
 - Seven 2nd and 3rd order invariant moment features are extracted from grayscale and gradient image
- Texture
 - Edge direction coherence vector (EDCV): ratio of coherent versus non-coherent edge pixels





Shape

SIFT Keypoint Matching



Examples of SIFT keypoints







Numbers of matching points between (a) similar and (b) different images

Experiments

• Transformed image queries against a gallery of original images

	No. of queries	gallery size
Tattoo Retrieval	104,460	5,223 and 10,223
Scar/Mark Retrieval	5,000	250

- Rank-1 accuracy is 97.2% for tattoos (96.6% on the enlarged gallery with COREL images) and 87.9% for scars & marks
- Retrieval time:
 - Feature extraction: 0.0005 sec/image
 - Matching per image pair: 0.005 sec

Experimental Results



CMC Curve for tattoo image retrieval

Retrieval Examples

Query













































Operational Tattoo Database

• Database (100,000 tattoo images) from Michigan Forensics Lab



Future Work

- Image enhancement
- Investigate image features for complex & large tattoos
- Develop automatic image annotation technique
- Feedback to improve annotation/retrieval performance
- Indexing



Current Tattoo Database



Tattoo Database for Future Work

Image Enhancement

• Image enhancement is needed to improve retrieval performance



(a), (b), (c), (d) show examples of severely degraded images and the ranks at which correct retrievals were found. The retrieval performance is improved after images are manually enhanced in (a'), (b'), (c'), (d')
Automatic Face Recognition

Authentication/Verification (1:1 matching)





Face Identification/recognition (1:N matching)





Why Face?

- Face is the most common biometric used by humans
- Identification at a distance
- Easy to capture from low-cost cameras
- Non-contact acquisition
- Covert data acquisition (surveillance cameras)
- Legacy database (passport, visa and driver license)



Face Identification on Mobile

Surveillance video



Legacy Database

http://web.mit.edu/newsoffice/nr/2002/faces

http://www.securityatwork.org.uk

Multiple Enrollments

- Large legacy databases (passports, driver licenses)
- Florida DMV "scrubbed" its database and found ~5,000 duplicates by matching 700K face images against a database of 51M faces (Courtesy, Merkatum)



Challenges

- Automatically locate the face
- Identify similar faces (inter-class similarity)
- Accommodate intra-class variability due to:
 - head pose
 - illumination conditions
 - expressions
 - facial accessories
 - aging effects
- Cartoon faces

Face Detection



*Theo Pavlidis, http://home.att.net/~t.pavlidis/comphumans/comphuman.htm

Intra-class Variability

• Faces with intra-subject variations in pose, illumination, expression, accessories, color, occlusions, and brightness



Inter-class Similarity

• Different persons may have very similar appearance



www.marykateandashley.com

Twins



news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/in_depth/americas /2000/us_elections

Father and son

State-of-the-Art

- Face Recognition Vendor Test 2006
 - The latest large scale evaluation of face recognition systems
 - Tests have performed on
 - High resolution still imagery (5 to 6 mega-pixels) 336 subjects
 - Multi-sample still facial imagery (low resolution) 36,000 subjects
 - 3D facial scans 330 subjects



Video Surveillance Trial Mainz Railway Station

- Performed by German Federal Police (Oct, 06 to Jan 07)
- Purpose: Test Face recognition systems in a real environment of a train station; camera views at escalator & stairs
- Performance: Identification rate of 60% at a FAR of 0.1% based on a gallery (watch list) of 200 enrolled persons





Age Modeling for Face Recognition

- Facial shape and texture change over time
- Current face recognition technologies cannot handle changes due to aging process
- Applications
 - Age estimation: Age specific access control (vending machines)
 - Synthetic aging/de-aging: Missing child



Subject 1



Subject 2

Age 18



Subject 1



Subject 2

Approach

- Build statistical models of face aging
 - Use 3D morphable model to correct pose
 - Modeling is carried out in 3D domain
 - Both shape and texture are modeled
- Goal is to achieve realistic aged/de-aged face images to improve face recognition performance
- Age estimate can be obtained as a byproduct

FG-NET Database

82 subjects with 1002 images (~12/subject) ranging in age from 0-69; variations in pose, expression, illumination & aging



Age 2





Age 18



Age 40



Age 43



Age 8









Age 14



Age 29



Age 16



Age 33



Age 22

Age 28



3D Morphable Model

Morphable model is used for pose correction



3D morphable model

Feature point labeling

3D morphable model With 81 points

Pose Correction using 3D Model

• Frontal shape is obtained by fitting the 3D model to the 2D shape



Pose-corrected Texture

3D model in frontal view

Illustrating Age Simulation



* Texture simulation follows the same method



Face images at five different poses from the aging-simulated image at age 20

Experimental Results

Rank-one accuracy improves from 28% to 40.1%. Results are averaged over 82 subjects and 41 different probe and gallery age groups



Experimental Results (matching at rank-1)

_

 \neq

 \neq



























Gallery (pose cor.)









3→11

18→0









5**→**15, fail

Gallery

Touchless Fingerprint Sensors





Surround Imager

Ten print capture device

NIJ fast fingerprint capture technology initiative; US-VISIT will start capturing 10 fingers as opposed to current 2 fingers (Courtesy TBS, NA)

Interoperability



Touchless 3D image



Virtual "rolled" image

Ink on paper

Courtesy TBS, NA

Whole Hand Sensor



Courtesy, Lumidigm

Fusion of Face and Fingerprints



K. Nandakumar, Y. Chen, S. Dass, A.K. Jain, IEEE Trans. PAMI, Feb 2008

Acknowledgment

This research is supported by grants from the Department of Justice, Department of Homeland Security, National Science Foundation and U.S. Army Research Office. We would like to thank Lt. Greg Michaud for providing us large databases of tattoo, plamprint, fingerporint and mugshot images.