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Abstract—Image retrieval has been extensively studied over the last two decades due to the increasing demands for the effective use of multimedia data. Among various approaches to image retrieval, scale space representation and local keypoint descriptors have been shown to be a promising approach. Even though the concept of scale space representation has been known for a long time, it has now gained prominence as a powerful method for image retrieval mostly due to the invention of the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT). We will review the characteristics of the scale space operation and provide an extended method of scale space operation that significantly improves the image matching accuracy in the context of image retrieval. We use an operational tattoo image database containing 1,000 near duplicate images to show the superior retrieval performance of the proposed method compared to SIFT keypoints.
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I. INTRODUCTION

CBIR-based image retrieval (CBIR) is used as a representative term covering research on image retrieval, where the query is an image as opposed to keywords describing the image. CBIR has been extensively studied over the past couple of decades with significant progress [1]–[4]. A number of approaches have been proposed in CBIR adopting various techniques in image processing, computer vision, machine learning, and pattern recognition. However, it is well known that CBIR is still not a tractable problem due to many unresolved issues, including semantic gap [5].

Near Duplicate Image (NDI) retrieval [6] is a problem similar to CBIR but more tractable due to its limited goal of finding images that are visually similar (as opposed to semantically similar) to the query image. Even though NDI retrieval is a relatively easier problem than CBIR, it was only after the invention of SIFT [7] that an acceptable level of performance has been achieved. Following SIFT, there have been a number of similar approaches to extract local keypoints and descriptors such as SURF [8], ORB [9], and Fast SIFT [10]. We will first review the fundamentals and characteristics of SIFT, and then introduce our proposed method that extends the keypoint detection capability of SIFT.

The rest of the paper is composed as follows. In Sec. II, we will review the keypoint extraction method of SIFT in scale space. In Sec. III, we will propose an extended keypoint detection method using higher-order scale space derivatives. Sec. IV provides experimental results and Sec. V will conclude the paper.

II. REVIEW OF SIFT KEYPOINT DETECTION METHOD

While the scale space representation has been studied for over 30 years [11], [12], it has only recently been successfully applied to image matching tasks [7], [8]. The scale represents the size of an image or an object in an image. If an object appears at a different scale (size) in two different images (i.e., query and database), these two images do not match very well. We can consider a naive approach that represents the database or query image at a number of different scales and then perform matching. However, the large number of possible scales at which the object can appear makes this approach infeasible. Template matching based methods especially suffer from the scale change. Therefore, a number of approaches have been proposed to construct a scale space that consists of a set of images, where each image represents a specific scale [12]. This is followed by extraction of characteristic feature points (keypoints) that are invariant to the scale changes in the scale space.

The scale space is usually constructed by applying the Gaussian smoothing or blurring to the image [7]. Each blurring step is characterized by the standard deviation of the Gaussian kernel. To calculate the scale space derivatives, SIFT uses Difference of Gaussian (DoG) method that approximates the Laplacian of Gaussian [7]. In DoG images, pixels that are greater or less than their 26 neighboring pixel values are selected as local extrema, whose locations correspond to derivatives being equal to zero. This procedure can be essentially interpreted as finding the local maxima or minima using the first-order derivatives in the scale space $(\partial L(x, y, \sigma)/\partial \sigma = 0)$. We will show how to use this observation to extend the keypoint extraction method of SIFT in the following section.

III. EXTENDED KEYPOINT DETECTION METHOD IN SCALE SPACE

The keypoint extraction method of SIFT has been shown to be effective in image matching and retrieval in a number of studies [7]–[10]. We have also observed that the underlying principle is to find the local extrema in scale space for
the keypoint detection. More specifically, SIFT uses first-order scale space derivative ($\partial L(x, y, \sigma)/\partial \sigma = 0$), which is approximated by taking the difference of successive scale images to obtain DoG images. Therefore, it is natural to explore the possibility of using higher-order scale space derivatives, i.e., $\partial^2 L(x, y, \sigma)/\partial \sigma^2 = 0$, $\partial^3 L(x, y, \sigma)/\partial \sigma^3 = 0$, $\partial^4 L(x, y, \sigma)/\partial \sigma^4 = 0$, etc., to extract more keypoints than SIFT. Fig. 1 shows the schematic of constructing higher-order scale space for the proposed method. Higher-order scale spaces are obtained by successively subtracting previous scale spaces of lower order, similar to how DoG space ($D$) is obtained by subtracting consecutive scale images of the previous order ($L$). These scale spaces are labeled as $\sigma$, $\partial \sigma$, and $\partial^2 \sigma$ according to the order of derivatives as shown in Fig. 1. Scale spaces higher than the order of two can also be similarly labeled. Scale space of $\partial^2 \sigma$ is constructed by subtracting consecutive scales in $\partial^2 \sigma^{i-1}$. The local Extrema in the second-order scale space corresponds to the inflection point in the 1st-order scale space derivative.

In the conventional SIFT, keypoint extraction from $s$ different scales requires $(s + 3)$ scales constructed to generate $(s + 2)$ different DoG images. The additional two DoG images ensure all pixels in $s$ DoG images have 26 neighbors. As the order of scale space derivative increases, there needs to be additional number of scale spaces. To generalize this relationship, keypoint extraction from $s$ scale spaces using $i^{th}$-order of scale space derivative ($\partial^i \sigma$) requires $(s + 2 + i)$ scale spaces. Fig. 2 shows example keypoint extraction results up to the $4^{th}$-order scale space derivative. Since the proposed method extracts more keypoints, it requires additional time both in keypoint extraction and matching.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Database

We use a subset of an operational Tattoo image dataset obtained from Michigan State Forensics Laboratory [13]. The entire database includes 63,593 color images of size $640 \times 480$ pixels. We have manually identified 445 tattoos that have duplicate images (1,000 total images). These 445 tattoos have about 2.25 duplicate images on average in the database of 1,000 images. In the matching experiments, each image in the database serves as a query and the rest of the database is considered as the gallery.

B. Image Retrieval Using Higher Order Scale Space Derivatives

The set of parameters we have tested for the general SIFT framework consists of number of octaves ($O$), number of scales ($S$), and cutoff threshold values ($T_x$), which are chosen as $\{3, 4, 5\}$, and $\{0.02, 0.01, 0.007, 0.005\}$, respectively. In general, the numbers of octaves and scales are proportional to the number of keypoints extracted because there will be more number of scales and DoG images; the larger the number of octaves and scales, the larger the number of extracted keypoints. However, the increase in scale space reduces the differences of pixel values across successive scales, which may reduce the number of pixels that are larger or smaller than their 26 neighbors, resulting in the reduction in the number of keypoints. The parameter $T_x$ is inversely proportional to the number of keypoints because a small value of $T_x$ increases the number of candidate keypoints. All the extracted keypoints are input to the subsequent descriptor construction and keypoint matching processes which are the same as those in the traditional SIFT [7].

Once keypoints are extracted from higher-order scale space derivatives, a number of different matching schemes can be considered that utilize the information about derivative orders. The first scheme allows keypoint matches within the same order of scale space derivatives, that is, keypoints from $\partial^i \sigma$ can only match to the keypoints from the same order. This is based on the intuition that the keypoints from the same derivative order are more likely to match each other. Restricting the matching candidates to the same derivative
order can reduce the computation time while still yielding a good matching accuracy. The second scheme allows keypoints to match across different derivative orders. We name the first scheme as diagonal match, and the second as all match. One additional matching scheme is to allow keypoints to match only across different derivative orders. This scheme is named as off-diagonal match. The off-diagonal match scheme is evaluated to analyze the fraction of keypoints matched across different derivative orders. We have also calculated the matching accuracies using the keypoints only from \( \partial \sigma \) (i.e., SIFT) as the baseline performance.

The average number of keypoints and the rank-1 matching accuracies for four different matching schemes are summarized in Table I. Based on the experimental results summarized in Table I we can make the following observations: (i) The best performance of the SIFT in our experimental setup is 91.5\%, (ii) the use of higher-order derivatives show significantly better matching accuracy compared to the SIFT for all parameter settings, (iii) the best matching accuracy is observed in either diagonal match or all match schemes depending on different parameter settings, and (iv) the difference between the best matching accuracy of SIFT and the proposed method is 3.3\%.

Fig. 3 shows example matching results using conventional SIFT and the proposed higher-order scale space derivative. Since the image matching time is proportional to the number of keypoints, the matching process takes longer when more keypoints are detected in the proposed method compared to SIFT. It is expected that the matching time can be significantly reduced when the Bag-of-Words matching scheme is used [13].

It is apparent the the performance gain of the higher-order SIFT comes from the additional keypoints extracted. In our experiments, SIFT failed to extract keypoints more than 314 even when the \( T_x \) is set to 0, but higher-order SIFT succeeded to extract more keypoints and provided improved matching accuracy. We consider the higher-order SIFT as a supportive method to improve the performance of the SIFT.

We believe that this performance improvement should be
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advantages for some applications where the accuracy is more important compared to the computational cost.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have proposed an extended keypoint detection method that significantly improved the image matching accuracy on an operational tattoo image database. The core of the method is extending the basic property of keypoint extraction in SIFT from first-order scale space derivative to higher-order scale space derivatives. Experimental results showed that the proposed method significantly outperforms the conventional SIFT in a number of different parameter setups. As an example, when values of parameters $T_x$, $O$, and $S$ are 0.005, 3, and 3, respectively, rank-1 retrieval accuracy of the proposed method increased from 85.0% for SIFT to 94.8%. Future work includes utilizing adaptive cutoff threshold value ($T_x$) to detect a smaller but more informative set of keypoints, and reducing the overall computation time in image matching.
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